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The goal of this study is to identify and analyze engagement strategies. Ethics lessons from five instructors in first-year engineering projects courses (GEEN 1400) will be observed and analyzed.

**RESEARCH QUESTIONS**

1. What are successful engagement strategies instructors and what ethical teaching outcomes does each produce?
2. How can these strategies begin to achieve Triggered-Feeling SI in students?
3. How do these engineering ethics lessons affect students' perspectives of an engineer's role in ethical decision-making?

**BACKGROUND**

First-year Engineering Student Retention

- Attrition rates for first and second year engineering students is unusually high: 82% of Engineering students return for a second year of Engineering and only 62% of Engineering students return for a third year of Engineering.
- A primary reason for the attrition of students from engineering is their perception of a learning environment that fails to motivate them and is unwelcoming.

**METHODS**

- **Presurvey:** Asked about the course overall; seeking insight on how students want to master material and avoid work. (n = 73 responses)
- **TDOP Observation Method:** Observational software in which observers record student and teacher actions/interactions during a 50-minute GEEN 1400 course session (5 courses, 2-3 observers each)
- **Postsurvey:** Asked questions about GEEN 1400 ethics lecture; examples include what students remembered, what they liked and didn’t like, etc. (n = 79 responses)

**FINDINGS:**
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**Class Case Study**

- **C1:** Challenger Space Shuttle (Triggered SI)
- **C2:** Flint Water Crisis (Maintained SI)
- **C3:** Challenger Space Shuttle (Value SI)
- **C4:** Challenger Space Shuttle (Maintained SI)
- **C5:** Florida Bridge Collapse (Tragedy SI)

**FINDINGS:**

**Class Activity**

- **Interesting & Thought Provoking**
- **Practical Examples**

**Practical Examples**

- **#1:** Decision Making: 61% Ethics vs. Law/Employer: 36%
- **Class Case Study:** 44%
- **Class Case Study:** 38%
- **Class Case Study:** 38%

**Practical Examples**

- **#2:** Class Case Study: 35% Ethics Codes & Morals: 21%
- **An Engineer’s Role in Ethics:** 21%
- **Ethics vs. Law/Employer:** 33%
- **Ethics Codes & Morals:** 22%
- **Decision Making:** 13%

**Practical Examples**

- **#3:** (none) Class Case Study: 14%
- **Practical Examples**

**TOP STRENGTH**

- **Postsurvey**

**TOP 3 STUDENT OUTCOMES**

- **Postsurvey**

**FINDINGS:**

**KEY TAKEAWAYS**

- **Case studies are a powerful way to teach engineering ethics.**
- **Content can be tactfully incorporated with a teaching method.**
- **The way that an instructor structures a lesson may have little impact on student content takeaway.**
- **C3-C5 had different Class Style, yet students had similar outcomes.**
- **Overall, ethics lessons are effective.**
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