

2006-960: CHANGING TIMES: THE STATUS OF COMPUTING EDUCATION IN THE UNITED STATES

Barry Lunt, Brigham Young University

Barry M. Lunt is an Associate Professor of Information Technology at Brigham Young University in Provo, UT. Dr. Lunt received a B.S. and an M.S. degree in EET from BYU, and a Ph.D. in Occupational and Adult Education from Utah State University in Logan, UT. He has spent seven years in industry as a design engineer, and 19 years in engineering technology education. His present research emphases are the physical design of electronic circuits and systems, IT curriculum, and engineering technology education.

Joseph Ekstrom, Brigham Young University

Joseph J. Ekstrom (Ph. D. Computer Science, BYU 1992) has been Associate Professor of Information Technology at BYU since 2001. During 30 years of industrial experience he held positions from developer through senior management. His research interests include network and systems management, distributed computing, system modeling and architecture, system development, and IT curriculum and instruction.

Changing Times: The Status of Computing Education in the United States

Abstract

The past several decades have seen the emergence of the computer and its pervasive integration into the developed world. Computers have evolved dramatically, and now can be found in nearly everything electrical. The early 1990s saw the explosive growth in the use of the Internet. These dramatic technological changes in our society demanded knowledgeable professionals and associated academic disciplines to prepare them, which gave rise first to computer science and information systems programs, starting in the early 1960s. More recently, this continued integration of computing into the fabric of society has given rise to academic programs in computer engineering, software engineering, and information technology.

Each of these disciplines has completed a formal curriculum definition and has also participated in a task force to define these five computing disciplines with respect to each other. This paper provides an overview of these efforts.

Changing Times: The Status of Computing Education in the United States

Introduction

The emergence of the computer in the late 1950s was soon followed by the development of two academic disciplines to provide formal education in computing. Computer science programs were created to address the growing demand for software algorithms and people who could program computers; information systems programs were created to address the need to apply computers in business.

Most computer science programs emerged from departments of mathematics, physics or electrical engineering (EE). Most information systems programs emerged within schools of business or accounting. For about three decades, these two computing programs and EE were the only ones familiar to most students; if a student wanted to major in computing, these were basically the only options.

In the late 1980s, two additional programs began to emerge: computer engineering and software engineering. Electrical engineering had long been teaching students the particulars of digital circuits and logic design, and many EE graduates had been going to work for computer hardware giants such as IBM and Intel. As the need for such skills continued to grow, many EE programs around the nation began to formally define a program in computer engineering. It should be pointed out that these computer engineering programs were not created *ex nihilo*, but were rather a formalization and renaming of an option within EE which had existed for many years.

Software engineering grew out of the need for more rigorous methods of creating software, driven by the creation of continually larger and more complex programs. Examples of these very large and very complex programs include military command and control systems, avionics, digital telephone switches, aerospace and launch control, ballistic missile defense, and more recently, operating systems, and increasingly realistic computer games. The creation of the discipline of SE is facilitating the application of rigorous and proven engineering design methods to the relatively new field of computer programming as a component of large system integration projects.

Information technology, the newest of the computing disciplines discussed in this paper, grew out of the explosive growth in the use of the Internet as a result of the emergence of the world-wide web (WWW), which occurred in the early and mid-1990s. This discipline has grown very rapidly, due to the rate of expansion of the field of networked computing.

It should be acknowledged here that there are other programs in computing that are presently emerging, including programs such as informatics, bio-informatics, networking, and others. Since this paper cannot hope to include a discussion of all computing programs, we chose to focus on the five computing disciplines that have formally-defined curricula, as outlined by the ACM (Association for Computing Machinery), and as available at the ACM website (<http://www.acm.org/education/curricula.html>). These computing programs, as outlined above,

are: computer engineering (CpE), computer science (CS), information systems (IS), information technology (IT), and software engineering (SE).

In an effort to understand the relationship between these computing programs, other papers have been written.^{1, 2, 3, 4} The authors recommend these reports where additional depth is sought. Greater depth may also be gained by consulting the formal curriculum guidelines that have been developed by each of these computing disciplines.^{5, 6, 7, 8, 9}

The Overview Report

Since *Curriculum '68*, the ACM and the Computer Society of the Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers (IEEE-CS) have endeavored to maintain a current and formally defined recommended curriculum for CS programs. Similar work has taken place since the early 1970s in the field of IS, under the auspices of the ACM, the Association for Information Systems (AIS), and the Association of Information Technology Professionals (AITP). The 2001 update for the CS curriculum (Computing Curricula 2001 – Computer Science) pointed out the need for formal curriculum documents from CpE and SE, and also stated, “Once the individual reports have been completed, representatives from all the [computing] disciplines will come together to produce an overview volume that links the series together. That overview volume will contain definitions of the various computing disciplines along with an assessment of the commonalities that exist in the curricular approaches.”

This overview report has been several years in the making, but is now available in draft form as *Computing Curricula 2005: The Overview Report*.¹⁰ This report represents a great deal of working together by leaders in each of the five computing disciplines and is very useful in defining and differentiating each of the computing disciplines.

The remainder of this paper provides a brief look into The Overview Report. Since this brief look has been compiled by academicians in the field of IT, it will have an IT bias. Every attempt will be made to fairly represent the information, but this IT bias should be acknowledged from the start.

Accreditation

In the academic world of computing as a whole, accreditation is quite fragmented. This section will discuss accreditation for each of the five computing disciplines, starting with computer science.

Computer science has generally been “a loosely organized network of scientists, researchers, and programmers”, rather than “a tightly organized body of practicing professionals.” (P 48, The Overview Report). Accordingly, most computer science programs have had little need or interest in accreditation. Today, only about 10% of CS programs in the U.S. are accredited. Those that are accredited have, in the past, been accredited through the Computer Science Accreditation Board (CSAB). In the year 2000, CSAB transferred accrediting responsibilities to the Computing Accreditation Commission (CAC) of ABET (formerly the Accreditation Board for Engineering and Technology; now just ABET).

Information Systems programs, generally being strongly affiliated with business schools or colleges, have had their own tradition of accreditation in association with their respective schools or colleges. This tradition has been quite separate from accreditation in computer science.

The more recent disciplines of CpE and SE are also somewhat disparate. Most engineering disciplines have had a very strong tradition and need for professional accreditation; since computer engineering has emerged from within EE, this tradition has been maintained. Most CpE programs are accredited, or are seeking accreditation. This accreditation is through the Engineering Accreditation Commission (EAC) of ABET.

Software engineering programs have few formal ties to traditional engineering programs, but since they are an engineering discipline, they are being accredited through the EAC of ABET. The first of these accreditations took place in 2003; since then, a total of ten SE programs have received accreditation.

At the time the work was begun on The Overview Report (2001), there was no official body of IT programs, nor any formal IT curriculum. However, this changed in December of 2001 as the first Conference on Information Technology Curriculum was held, which resulted in the creation of the Society for Information Technology Education (SITE), as well as committees for defining IT accreditation and an IT curriculum.

IT accreditation standards progressed quickly, and outcomes were posted for public review in 2004. These outcomes have been approved for use in a pilot accreditation visit which took place in November 2005. Additionally, general outcomes were approved for accrediting of general computing programs; these outcomes have been used for accreditation of three IT programs in November 2004, and it is apparent that when the IT-specific outcomes are fully approved for accreditation, more IT programs will seek to be accredited. The IT-specific accreditation outcomes can be found at www.abet.org/images/Criteria/C001_04-05_CAC_Criteria_2011-18-03.pdf

It should be noted here that, in the lead author's experience, what happens in accreditation for CpE, SE, and IT programs is being and will be looked to by many people outside the U.S. as an example. Many countries are looking into accreditation for their relatively new computing programs, and one of the models most often looked to is ABET. Of the five computing programs being discussed in this paper, only IS programs are not accredited through ABET.

Brief Descriptions

Each of the five computing disciplines discussed in this paper has developed a brief description of their discipline. These descriptions are:

“Computer engineering is concerned with the design and construction of computers and computer-based systems. It involves the study of hardware, software, communications, and the interaction among them. Its curriculum focuses on the theories, principles, and practices of

traditional electrical engineering and mathematics, and applies them to the problems of designing computers and computer-based devices.” (The Overview Report, p. 13)

“*Computer science* spans a wide range, from its theoretical and algorithmic foundations to cutting-edge developments....” “While other [computing] disciplines can produce graduates better prepared for specific jobs, computer science offers a comprehensive foundation that permits graduates to adapt to new technologies and new ideas.” (The Overview Report, p. 13)

“*Information systems* specialists focus on integrating information technology solutions and business processes to meet the information needs of businesses and other enterprises, enabling them to achieve their objectives in a effective, efficient way.” “All IS degrees combine business and computing coursework.” (The Overview Report, p. 14)

“*Information technology* ... refers to undergraduate degree programs that prepare students to meet the technology needs of business, government, healthcare, schools, and other kinds of organizations.” (The Overview Report, p. 14) “IT, as an academic discipline, focuses on meeting the needs of users within an organizational and societal context through the selection, creation, application, integration and administration of computing technologies.” (Computing Curricula: Information Technology Volume, p. 5)

“*Software engineering* is the discipline of developing and maintaining software systems that behave reliably and efficiently, [and] are affordable to develop and maintain....It seeks to integrate the principles of mathematics and computer science with the engineering practices developed for tangible, physical artifacts.” (The Overview Report, p. 15)

Knowledge Areas: Differences

Each of the five computing disciplines discussed in this paper have included in their model curriculum a one- or two-page list of *knowledge areas* that constitute their body of knowledge. In an effort to graphically portray these disciplines juxtaposed against each other, the detailed descriptions of each of these knowledge areas were compiled into pie charts that can assist in understanding the differences between these programs. There are 83 total knowledge areas. Many of them overlap or have much similarity, so these 83 knowledge areas were reduced to 15 emphasis areas for this study. Additionally, since each formal curriculum also gives an idea how much time should be spent on each knowledge area, it was possible to combine subtopics within some knowledge areas. It is acknowledged that the graphs derived from this study (Figures 1-5) are somewhat oversimplified, but this was essential in order to reduce the complexity of the data as a whole.

In general, the graphs give great insight into each discipline. Computer engineering has a very strong emphasis on computer hardware (Figure 1); CS has a strong emphasis on algorithms & complexity, computer hardware, programming, and software life cycle (Figure 2). Information systems has a strong emphasis on developing information systems (Figure 3), and IT has emphases in human-computer interaction, information management (databases), development of information systems, networks, programming and security. Software engineering has their major emphasis in the area of the software life cycle.

Additionally, each computing discipline has a substantial body of knowledge that could not be adequately compared to the other computing disciplines. This is shown in the graphs under Other; details can be found by consulting the individual knowledge areas for each computing discipline.




Figure 1: Knowledge areas for Computer Engineering




Figure 2: Knowledge areas for Computer Science




Figure 3: Knowledge areas for Information Systems




Figure 4: Knowledge areas for Information Technology




Figure 5: Knowledge areas for Software Engineering

Knowledge Areas: Commonalities

It is not a surprise that there are substantial differences among these five computing disciplines. Nevertheless, it would be very surprising if there were not some commonalities, since they all claim to be computing disciplines. Analysis of the formal curricula shows that all five programs cover:

- ❖ Computer foundational topics
- ❖ Computer programming (including algorithms, implementation, and software quality)
- ❖ Capabilities and limitations of computers (including societal impact)
- ❖ Software lifecycle issues
- ❖ Processes, both computing and professional
- ❖ Advanced computing topics
- ❖ Professionalism (including interpersonal communications, teamwork, management, ethics, and legal constraints)
- ❖ Applications to join theory and skills (including labs, assignments, projects, etc.)
- ❖ Capstone projects

Conclusion

Since the emergence of the computer in the 1950s, computing devices have exponentially dropped in cost, size, and power consumption, while exponentially growing in speed, storage, and capability. These dramatic changes have made computing elements the most versatile and widely adopted electronic devices ever created. In turn, this versatility and wide adoption for diverse application domains have created the need for more sub disciplines within computing. Over the last 15 years this need has driven the creation of the computing disciplines of computer engineering, software engineering, and information technology. Each of these new computing disciplines has completed accreditation standards, has had some programs already accredited, and has formally defined a model curriculum. These three new computing disciplines now take their place alongside the older and more familiar computing disciplines of computer science and information systems. This study of their accreditation and model curricula has described the character of each discipline in terms of their differences and similarities.

It is expected that there will yet be other computing disciplines in the years and decades to come. When that happens, we shall look forward to learning their place in the expanding field of computing.

References

1. “An Empirical Comparison of Baccalaureate Programs in Computing”; Barry Lunt, Han Reichgelt, Tina Ashford, Andy Phelps, Erick Slazinski, Cheryl Willis; *3rd Conference on Information Technology Curriculum (CITC-3)*, Sept 19-21, 2002, Rochester, NY.
2. “What Is the New Discipline of Information Technology? Where Does It Fit?”; Barry Lunt, Han Reichgelt, Tina Ashford, Andy Phelps, Erick Slazinski, Cheryl Willis; *Conference for Industry and Education Collaboration (CIEC) 2003, Jan 28-31, 2003, Tucson, AZ*.
3. “An Empirical Comparison of Baccalaureate Programs in Computing”; Tina Ashford, Barry Lunt, Han Reichgelt, Andy Phelps, Erick Slazinski, Cheryl Willis; *Proceedings of the 2003 Interdisciplinary Conference for Teachers of Undergraduates, Wenham, MA, March 2003*.
4. “A Comparison of Baccalaureate Programs in Information Technology with Baccalaureate Programs in Computer Science and Information Systems”; Han Reichgelt, Barry Lunt, Tina Ashford, Andy Phelps, Erick Slazinski, Cheryl Willis; *Journal of Information Technology Education*, vol 3, 2004, pp 19-34.
5. “CC 2001 Curriculum Guidelines for Undergraduate Degree Programs in Computer Science”; <http://www.acm.org/education/curricula.html#cc2001-fr>.
6. “CE 2004 – Curriculum Guidelines for Undergraduate Degree Programs in Computer Engineering”; <http://www.acm.org/education/curricula.html#CE2004>.
7. “IS 2002 Curriculum Guidelines for Undergraduate Degree Programs in Information Systems”; <http://www.acm.org/education/curricula.html#IS2002>.
8. “SE 2004 – Curriculum Guidelines for Undergraduate Degree Programs in Software Engineering”; <http://www.acm.org/education/curricula.html#SE2004>.
9. “Computing Curricula: Information Technology Volume”; <http://www.acm.org/education/curricula.html#IT2005>.
10. “Computing Curricula 2005: The Overview Report”; <http://www.acm.org/education/curricula.html#CC2005>.