

Engineering Notebooks for Formative Assessment (Resource Exchange)

Dr. Kerrie A. Douglas, Purdue University, West Lafayette (College of Engineering)

Dr. Douglas is an Assistant Professor in the Purdue School of Engineering Education. Her research is focused on methods of assessment and evaluation.

Prof. Tamara J. Moore, Purdue University, West Lafayette (College of Engineering)

Tamara J. Moore, Ph.D., is an Associate Professor in the School of Engineering Education and Director of STEM Integration in the INSPIRE Institute at Purdue University. Dr. Moore's research is centered on the integration of STEM concepts in K-12 and postsecondary classrooms in order to help students make connections among the STEM disciplines and achieve deep understanding. Her work focuses on defining STEM integration and investigating its power for student learning. Tamara Moore received an NSF Early CAREER award in 2010 and a Presidential Early Career Award for Scientists and Engineers (PECASE) in 2012.

Engineering Notebooks for Formative Assessment

(Resource Exchange)



Target Grade Level: 4-8

Engineering to Transform the Education of Analysis, Measurement, & Science

Authors and Contact:

Kerrie A. Douglas Purdue University douglask@purdue.edu Tamara J. Moore Purdue University tamara@purdue.edu **Project Website:** http://engrteams.org

Project Description

The EngrTEAMS project has been developing a suite of 13 integrated STEM curricula for grades 4 - 8. The curricula are hands-on engineering design challenges that integrate mathematics and science grade-appropriate content, mapping to Next Generation Science Standards for engineering and discipline-specific standards. Each unit was inspired by a teacher and developed in conjunction with members of the EngTEAMS. The design projects in each unit vary in context and in terms of the mathematics and science concepts needed to create an adequate solution. Yet, within all the variation, each unit is an authentic engineering design challenge. The common design elements that cut across curriculum were specified in three overarching design competencies (Douglas, Moore, & Adams, 2016), each with specific objectives concerning the knowledge and practices that comprise the competency.

Design Competencies

Competencies	Objective	Students
1: Students define the	А	Gather information to examine the problem (ask questions to client)
problem from the	В	Explain the problem based on a synthesis of information.
perspective of	С	Explain why the problem is important to solve based on evidence.
stakeholders. Students	D	Identify the relevant end user.
generate and then	Е	Explain the background knowledge needed to develop a solution.
refine description of	F	Explain criteria based on synthesis of given and found information.
problem based on new	G	Explain constraints based on synthesis of given and found information.
information. Students	Н	Revise the problem based on evidence found while developing effective solution.
engage in problem scoping (i.e., define the problem and needs, and then identify the knowledge, criteria, and constraints required for a desirable solution).	I	Communicate how their understanding of the problem deepened through the design process.
2: Students use	А	Use evidence from problem scoping to generate multiple initial ideas for the design
evidence to develop an optimal solution.	В	solution. Select potential solution through systematic evaluation of various solutions based
Specifically: develop	Б	on the problem.
possible solutions,	С	Implement potential solution.
evaluate solutions,	D	Test potential solution.
implement, test, and	E	Analyze test results.
optimize the solution.	F	Apply evidence gathered through test analysis to improve the performance of
		chosen solution.
	G	Gather additional information (<i>i.e.,</i> regarding applied science/mathematics concepts) to improve solution performance.
	Н	Evaluate the alignment between their proposed solution and the problem.
	Ι	Test improved solution.
	J	Explain what they have learned through testing and evaluation process.
3: Students	A	Institution their design colution is environmists heard on amplication of some
3: Students communicate their	A	Justify why their design solution is appropriate based on application of core science/mathematics concepts.
design solution through	В	Justify why their design solution is appropriate based on information obtained in
use of evidence-based	ם	problem scoping.
reasoning.		problem scoping.
r caboning.		



Notebooks in the Curricula

Throughout the design project, each student maintains an engineering notebook in order to take notes, develop ideas, record testing and observations, document decisions, and plan next steps. Each of the 13 units has both common elements of the notebook and elements that are specific to that unit. The common elements of the notebooks are based on three overarching design competencies (Douglas et al., 2016) and then prompts are mapped to specific learning objectives and identified at which point in the design process students were developing those skills. In all these notebook assessment activities, students are provided with scaffolding for their design projects, they are prompted to reflect and reconsider their understanding prior to collecting evidence.

Teachers can use the notebooks as an embedded assessment tool to provide timely feedback to students regarding design practices. The notebook prompts are written to capture evidence of student design skills, as specified in the design competencies. Students use the notebooks in a variety of ways to capture evidence of their design practices, such as brainstorming, sketching ideas, and recording their test results, as well as responding to questions concerning their design decision-making.

Examples from Engineering Notebooks

The following are examples of the common notebook pages in the EngrTEAMS curricula.

Define the Problem	Generate Ideas
Vame Date Period	ESSO, NameDatePeriod
2 2.b. Define the Problem	5.a. Design Ideas - Individual Plan
 First, on your own, answer each of the following questions beside the "My Response" space. Then, in your teams, share your response, listen to your teammates' responses, and discuss. Last, in the space "Team Response", write your revised answer to the question, 	Design Idea Why do you think this will work? #1
based on discussion with your team. 1. Who is the <u>client</u> ? My response:	
Team response:	
2. What is the client's <u>problem</u> that needs a solution? My response:	#2
Team response:	
3. Why is the <u>problem important</u> to solve? My response:	#3
Team response:	
Eng/TEAMS 0 2016 University of Minnesola & Purdue University Research Foundation Chill Out - FT DRAFT 37 Test Ideas	ErgrTEAMS © 2016 University of Minnesole & Purdue University Research Foundation Chill Out - FT DRAFT 67 Justify Solution
	Justily Solution
7 Name Date Period	Baa. Evidence-Based Reasoning
Team Reflection Questions: 1. What have you learned about the performance of your solution from your test results? (What worked well and did not work well? And how do you know?)	Problem with Criteria & Constraints (What do you need to worry about?) Problem:
	Criteria: Constraints: Simplifying Assumptions (What do you not need to worry about?)
2. What improvements will you make to your solution based on the results of your tests? Explain why you want to make those changes.	Plan (Design Idea) Data/Evidence (Facts)
3. What improvements will you make to your solution based on the science and/or math you have learned? Explain why you want to make those changes.	
4. Is your team going to start over with completely new materials and \$5.00 to spend, OR are you going to buy more materials and fix/change your existing design and add onto your previous cost? Explain why your team chose that option.	Explanation, Justification, Reasoning (Why do you think this will work?)
86 Chill Out - FT DRAFT EngrTEAMS © 2016 University of Minnesole & Purdue University Research Foundation	96 Chill Out - FT DRAFT EngrTEAMS © 2016 University of Minnesola & Purdue University Research Foundation