

# New Labs for Control Courses DC Motor Control

#### Dr. William T. Evans PhD P.E., The University of Toledo

Dr. William Evans earned his Ph.D. in Industrial Engineering in 2005 and his M.S.E.E. in 1975, both from the University of Toledo. He earned his B.S.E.E. in 1971 from UIUC. Dr. Evans has 15 yrs experience as a controls engineer for industry, 37 yrs experience in education/consulting

# New Labs for Control Courses DC Motor Control

William T Evans, PhD, PE Department of Engineering Technology University of Toledo Toledo, Ohio 43606 Email: william.evans@utoledo.edu

#### Abstract:

A number of labs have been developed using small scale dc motors that demonstrate the PID algorithm for speed and position control. These labs are very inexpensive and complement the PLC equipment presently in most automation labs. The labs will demonstrate the basic concepts of PID and the concept of loop-in-loop control. These labs are currently under development and will be shared in their early state complete with PLC program and HMI screen. They are extremely low cost and can be used to augment other labs using feedback control.

### **DC Motor Position Control Lab**

This lab has a history from a number of previous developers. One was the original lab for the Auto Controls course manufactured by a former instructor in EET, John Rich. Its purpose was to control speed and then position using PID loops. The motor at right can be run both forward and reverse and to a position. The lab was the highlight of the AutoControl course by Mr. Rich during his tenure.

Prof. Rich's design is shown here (Fig. 1a):



Fig. 1a Speed and Position Control of DC Motor

and here (Fig. 1b)



Fig. 1b Speed and Position Control of DC Motor with Control Board

The equipment whose diagram is shown below was used but only by demonstration since the school owned only two of these units. This equipment was purchased from the company Feedback. Again, the DC motor was controlled by a PID loop for speed control and a second PID loop for position.





Another was from a paper "A Low-Cost Control System Experiment for Engineering Technology Students" by Dr. Curtis Cohenour Ph.D., P.E. P.E., Ohio University<sup>[1]</sup>. Dr. Cohenour's implementation is shown below in Fig. 3. This design was attempted with limited success.



Fig. 3 Speed and Position Control of DC Motor using Arduino

## Speed Control with PLC Controller

What is being proposed is using PID block controlling a dc gear motor for controlling a DC motor. The control loop is to control the speed of the motor to a setpoint. The controller is a Siemens S7-1200 with encoder inputs and PWM output.



Fig. 4 Speed and Position Control of DC Motor using PLC

This lab would consist of building this motor and controller. To continue, a second PID block will be added to control to a position. This successfully emulates the original purpose of the lab from Prof. Rich as well as the other designs of Figs 2-3. Additions to the lab would include

building a ramp block to successfully ramp from setpoint to setpoint at a set acceleration/deceleration rate.

## **Planning the Lab**

This design incorporates a dc motor with pwm speed control board and PLC for programming. Appendix I gives a wiring diagram for the lab. Appendix II gives a partial program for use in implementing the lab. The lab has not been implemented in the classroom except as a demonstration. It is being planned for the present term as a general lab for students. The lab will closely follow the program of Appendix II. A second PID loop for position control will be implemented in future semesters.

The lab is planned around the idea that a student would be given the basic wired PLC that was configured but without any program. The student would determine the various settings such as how long to set the OB's timed interrupt. The program in Appendix II gives 100 msec but students might choose another setting. If the student were to choose 100 msec, then the maximum number of counts per period could be observed by running the output full on. Then the scaling could be determined for 100% of the process variable. Each decision would be seen having an affect on other decisions.

The tuning program in the Siemens software was not capable of effectively providing good tuning parameters for this application. The output went unstable with the tuning parameters automatically generated. The derivative parameter had to be changed to zero to stabilize the motor. This can be observed as a necessary part of proper tuning of PID loops. Auto tuning programs are not necessarily always best. And there are a number of variables determining best parameters for these loops.

#### **Summary**

The motor provides a good low cost PID lab experience if the PLC is already part of the controls lab. In this case, the Siemens S7-1200 is available and suitable for this application. The tuning of the motor is the only problem in that stability issues arise which must be dealt with. The output of the PID block can be observed in a graphical form and various setpoints can be entered. The ramping from setpoint to setpoint can be implemented with a small program to provide ramping of the setpoint. In all, this lab experience should provide a meaningful experience for students in an auto controls class. The lab should be robust enough to allow students to experiment with various parameters without destroying the equipment. It should be a good lab for many years to come.

### Appendix I

Parts for this lab include:

- 1. S7-1214 or 1215 DCDCDC Siemens PLC
- 2. DI4 5 VDC signal board
- 3. Cytron Technologies MD 20A 20 A 6V-30 V DC Motor Driver
- 4. ALITOVE 5V 3A 15W AC 100V~240V to DC Power Supply Adapter Converter
- 5. Uxcell DC 24V 111RPM 16Kg.cm Self-Locking Worm Gear Motor with Encoder/Cable or equal

Breadboard, resistors

Connections are seen in these figures. The motor connects its encoder logic through the breadboard. The power to the motor is furnished through the controller at top. The power is provided by the 24 V supply at left and a 5 V supply which is not shown.



The motor can be seen here. It is rated at 24 V dc and has a gear reduction of 1:72.

## Wiring from Motor and Encoder Connection:

- Red Wire positive power supply of motor(+)
- White Wire negative power supply of motor(-)
- Black Wire negative power supply of encoder(-) (positive and negative power supply of encoder do not allow connect wrong; voltage is 3.3 -5V)
- Blue Wire positive power supply of encoder(+) (positive and negative power supply of encoder do not allow connect wrong; voltage is 3.3 -5V)
- Yellow Wire signal feedback (11 signals per motor turns a circle)
- Green Wire signal feedback (11 signals per motor turns a circle)

The S7 1200 v2.2 CPU in relation to the Quadrature encoder:

The 1200 with 24V DC inputs support up to six High Speed Counters.

a. Up to 3 of the addresses Ia.0 to Ia.5 can be used for Quadrature Mode at 80Khz.

b. Up to 3 of the addresses Ia.6 to Ib.5 can be used for Quadrature Mode at 20Khz.

The DI4 5 VDC signal board with part number 6ES7 221-3AD30-0XB0 is used in this example since the encoder pulse signals are 5V. It supports 160 KHz for Quadrature Mode. The input is "source" type. So, the encoder must support this which means that it is NPN or Open Collector type. The encoder and card work together in this example.

For the S7 1200 v2.2 when configuring the HSC, please select the Input Source as "signal board input". Please note that the option is only available if the signal board has been added in the hardware configuration. Please note that the addresses of the HSC will be the address of signal board (default 4.x). In v4.x S7 1200's, the user selects the address rather than the Input Source.

Cost of the signal board is shown in the quote below. Prices may change but should be close to this price:

001 5.00 0.00 5.00 EA 6ES72213AD300XB0 EA 88.0000 440.00 1.0 S7-1200 SIGNAL BOARD 4 DI 5VDC 200KHZ 1.0 lead time 23 days

The dc motor controller is shown below. We used either 12 V for power to the motor or 24 V depending on the rating of the DC motor. This controller is very inexpensive and can accommodate either the 12 V or 24 V varieties. It is also used in the tank-over-tank lab in Hybrid Text Ch. 25. It works well with the cheap power supplies (MeanWell or equivalent) for most applications.

## Cytron Technologies MD 20A 20 A 6V-30 V DC Motor Driver

#### **1. BOARD LAYOUT & FUNCTION**



The wiring diagram shown below gives the complete wiring diagram for the motor shown in the figures above:



Onboard Signal Board 5 V DI4x5VDC								
L	М	0	1			]		
+5V	0VDC	Yel	Grn					





# Appendix II

Programing the Siemens

The diagram below shows the Siemens PLC:

				PLC?			
	103	102	101		1		2
Rack_0				SICMO	15 221001 2232 <b>6</b>	SIMUTE STATE	
						CPU that DEDE.DC	

Configuration of the PLC is given in the following configuration tabs:

✓ DI 14/DQ 10	^		Catalog information		
General		<i>`</i>			-
Digital inputs					
Digital outputs			Short designation:	DI4 signal board (200 kHz)	
I/O addresses			Description	Signal board DI4 x 5VDC / 200 kHz SOURCE: configurable input	
AI 2			beschption	delay; plug-in terminal blocks	
<ul> <li>DI4 signal board (200 kHz)</li> </ul>					
✓ General					
Catalog information					
<ul> <li>Digital inputs</li> </ul>				×	
Channel0			Article number:	6ES7 221-3AD30-0XB0	
Channel1	•		Firmware version:	V1 0	
Channel2			Thinware version.		
Channel3				Change firmware version	
I/O addresses				Update module description	
<ul> <li>High speed counters (HSC)</li> </ul>					

The Signal Board must be changed to allow for the fast pulse inputs:

Digital inputs		
Digital outputs	Channel address	: 14.0
I/O addresses	Input filters	20 microsec
Al 2	- Input inters	
<ul> <li>DI4 signal board (200 kHz)</li> </ul>		
▼ General		Enable rising edge detection
Catalog information	Event name:	
<ul> <li>Digital inputs</li> </ul>	Hardware interrupt:	
Channel0		
Channel1	Phonty:	
Channel2		
Channel3		

Addressing of the Digital Inputs for the encoder from the Signal Board are shown here:

▼ DI 14/DQ 10 General	^	I/O addresses	
Digital inputs		Input addresses	
Digital outputs			
I/O addresses		Start address: 4	.0
AI 2		End address: 4	.7
▼ DI4 signal board (200 kHz)		Question from blocks	
▼ General		Organization block: (Auton	matic update)
Catalog information		Process image: Automati	ic update
<ul> <li>Digital inputs</li> </ul>			
Channel0			
Channel1	•		
Channel2			
Channel3			
I/O addresses			

Digital outputs	~	High speed counters (HSC)			
I/O addresses					
Al 2		HSC1			
▼ DI4 signal board (200 kHz)					
▼ General		General			
Catalog information		Fnable			
<ul> <li>Digital inputs</li> </ul>					
Channel0		Carable this high speed counter			
Channel1					
Channel2	4	Project information			
Channel3					
I/O addresses	Þ	Name: HSC 1			
<ul> <li>High speed counters (HSC)</li> </ul>					
		Comment:			
General					
Function					
Reset to initial values					
Event configuration					
Hardware inputs		> Function			

▼ General		Type of counting:	Count	]
Catalog information		Operating phase:	A/B counter	η
<ul> <li>Digital inputs</li> </ul>				ſ
Channel0				
Channel1		Counting direction is specified	Input (external direction control)	1
Channel2	4	e ter ter ter ter ter		ŝ
Channel3		Initial counting direction:	Count up	J
I/O addresses	•			
<ul> <li>High speed counters (HSC)</li> </ul>	-	Frequency measuring period:	-/- sec 🔻	1
General		Reset to initial values		
Function				
Reset to initial values		Initial values		
Event configuration				
Hardware inputs		Initial counter value:	0	

The address of the encoder is configured below:

<ul> <li>DI4 signal board (200 kHz)</li> <li>General</li> </ul>			
Catalog information		Clock generator A input: %I4.1 200 kHz signal board input	
<ul> <li>Digital inputs</li> </ul>			
Channel0			
Channel1			ard input
Channel2	4	Clock generator B input: %14.0 200 kHz signal board input	
Channel3			
I/O addresses	Þ		

The high-speed input enters the PLC as a pulse in ID1000. This is the address used to calculate the pulses received in the last 100 msec.

<ul> <li>General</li> </ul>					
Catalog information		Input addresses			
<ul> <li>Digital inputs</li> </ul>					
Channel0		Start address:	1000	.0	
Channel1		End address:	1003	.7	
Channel2	4	Organization block	(Automatic undate)		
Channel3		organization block.	(Automatic update)		
I/O addresses	۲	Process image:	Automatic update		

The output PWM is shown here:

Provide totals to 1			
Reset to initial values	^		
Event configuration		. Conomi	
Hardware inputs		> General	
I/O addresses		Enable	
HSC2			
HSC3		🗹 Enable this pulse generator	
► HSC4			
HSC5		Project information	
HSC6		rigeet monitation	
<ul> <li>Pulse generators (PTO/PWM)</li> </ul>	4	Name: Pulse_1	
Startup		Comment:	
Cycle	ľ	connent	
Communication load			
System and clock memory			
<ul> <li>Web server</li> </ul>	≡		
Event configuration Hardware inputs		Parameter assignment Pulse ontions	
NO addresses			
NHSC2		Signal type: PWM	
N HSC4		Taraharan Managarah	
N HSCE		lime base: Microseconds	
N HSC6		Pulse duration format: Thousandths	•
Pulse concrators (PTO/PMM)		Cycle time: 1000 µs 🖨	
Fuise generators (FIO/FWW)	4	Initial pulse duration: 50 Thousandths	
Cala			
Cycle Communication land	ŀ		
Communication load		Hardware outputs	
System and clock memory			
Webserver		Pulse output: %00.0 100 kHz on-board output	
User interface languages			

The output configured is a PWM signal that varies from 1 to 1000 microseconds and repeats each millisecond.

HSC2	> I/O addresses
► HSC3	Output addresses
HSC4	Output addresses
HSC5	Start address 1000
► HSC6	
<ul> <li>Pulse generators (PTO/PWM)</li> </ul>	End address: 1001 .7
Startup	Organization block: (Automatic update)
Cycle	Process image: Automatic update

The program is in a cyclic interrupt program that is executed each 100 ms. It consists of a rung to get the input count for the last 100 msec followed by the PID block and finally the output to the PWM block.

The choice of 100 ms is chosen due to the observation that with 11 pulses per revolution of the motor, we only receive about 125 pulses in 100 ms. If we were to choose 10 ms as our cycle time, we would only expect 12 pulses per scan at full speed. We need more accuracy than 1/12.

If one were to spend more for a more sophisticated motor, more pulses would give greater accuracy and the scan could be reduced.



The PID block is shown next. The values for the numeric inputs are found in the Watch Table. Boolean variables can be set directly by modifying the input in online mode.





OB1: The OB1 block contains only the PWM input block. The 'test' bit is to be set before the PWM is allowed.



Link to S7-1200/1500 PID Manual: https://support.industry.siemens.com/cs/us/en/view/108210036

The tuning rules are found on pgs. 265 - 266 under the descriptions of operating modes "Pretuning" and "Fine tuning" in the 1200.

The following is a watch table built to display the variables for the PID block. The video gives additional detail of the PID block and uses this watch table to vary the speed. Set the setpoint via the input 'set'.

Pro	Project10 → PLC_1 [CPU 1214C DC/DC/DC] → Watch and force tables → Watch table_1											
	<i>≝ ≝ ⊯ </i>											
_	i	Name	Address	Display format	Monitor value	Modify value	4	Comment				
1		"x"	%QW1000	DEC+/-	743	100	M 📐					
2		"Tag_1"	%ID1000	DEC+/-	1189089							
3			%Q0.2	Bool	FALSE	FALSE	🗹 🔺					
4		"set"	%MD16	Floating-poin 💌	125.0	125.0	🗹 🔺					
5		"Mode"	%MW20	DEC+/-	3	3	🗹 🔺					
6			<add new=""></add>									

The commission of the PID block allows the auto tuning of the PID variables. With this option, the following PID parameters are generated and the output below.

PID Parameters				
● ± ☑ Enable manual entry				
Proport	ional gain: [	2.557061E-1	0	±
Integral ad	ction time: 📘	.367988	s 🌒	±
Derivative a	ction time: 3	3.059973E-1	s 🌒	±
Derivative delay	coefficient: C	0.1	•	±
Proportional action	weighting: C	).58		±
Derivative action	weighting: 🛛	0.0	0	±
Sampling time of PID	algorithm: 9	9.99991E-2	s 🔵	±
Tuning rule				
Controlle	er structure: F	PID	-	±

The motor oscillates. This demonstrates the instability of the tuning process. This is a good example of instability.



With the change shown below, instability is gone. Move a '0' into the Derivative action time variable and stability.

PID Parameters			
● ± ✓ Enable manual entry			
Proportional gain:	0.5	() ±	
Integral action time:	1.367919	s 🌗 🛨	
Derivative action time:	0.0	s 🌗 🛨	
Derivative delay coefficient:	0.1	<b>•</b> ±	
Proportional action weighting:	0.58	<b>•</b> ±	
Derivative action weighting:	0.0	() ±	
Sampling time of PID algorithm:	9.999409E-2	s 🔵 🛨	
Tuning rule			
Controller structure	PID	- 🔵 ±	

Now, the process of finding the best P, I, and D for a best response is required.

Project10  PLC_1 [CPU 1214C DC/DC/DC]  Technology obje	cts > PID_Compact_1 [DB2]	_ # #×								
Measurement Sampling time: 0.3 s T Stop	Tuning mode Fine tuning									
◯ ◯ ≒ 🥐 ⊑ ारे छ . Q 🦉 🐹 🚟 🖂 式 •										
6/9/2022 9:53:34.941 AM	PID_Compact_1	Legend X								
		Output (%) ScaledInput (%) CurrentSetpoint (%)								
0 0.167 0.555 0.5 0.667 0.655	[min] [.107 1.333 1.3 1.007 1.033 2 2.107 2.333 2.3	tomatic								
L Upload PID parameters Manual mode										
Go to PID parameters	Sectorille and the Sectorial and the Sectorial Sec									

The best display of the response to the PID loop is not the chart above but rather the display below on a screen of an HMI display. Following is a step-by-step set of instructions to configure the display similar to the Ball-in-Tube and other PID labs. These instructions give a complete set of instructions for configuration of a historical data trend.

Project tree	1	Proje	:t10 ▶	HMI_1	[KTP12	DO Bas	sic PN]	► S	creens	⊧ ► S	creer	<u>1</u>								Toolb	юх	∎ □ ►
Devices																				Optio	ns	
EV.		Tahon	na	1	5 - 8	I	<u>U</u> s	A* ±	≣±	<u>A</u> ±	: <u>🛧</u> ±	<u>#</u> ±	<u>≡</u> ± –	t 📕 🛨	<u>c∿</u> ±	≛±!	∐± ≌±	2 🐗 t	🚽 🛓 🖾	N 2	L 🖬 🎹 🕨	
																				✓ Ba	sic objects	
<ul> <li>Project10</li> </ul>		×																				_
📑 Add new device																						
📥 Devices & networks																			<u>.</u>			
PLC_1 [CPU 1214C DC/	-				:: 100	-							9					100	•	A		
▼ 1 HMI_1 [KTP1200 Basic					80	-												-80				
Device configuration	n				60	-												-60				
Q Online & diagnostic	s	11111																- 40				
Y Runtime settings					- 40	-												-40				
<ul> <li>Screens</li> </ul>					:: 20	-												-20		NY EL	omonte	
Add new screen		-			:: 0	-												EO		- LI	ements	
Root screen						10:5	7:59	AM	10	58.3	2 AN	4	10:	59:05	AM	10:5	9:39 AI	M		51.0	<u> </u>	2.1
Screen 1					::	12/	31/2	000	12	/31/	2000	0	12/	31/2	000	12/3	$\frac{31}{200}$	0				
<ul> <li>Screen managemen</li> </ul>	nt								44			0		1	0	-Tr		0.		5		
Templates							M		••			4	_4		U		<b>€</b>	⇒		-		
Global screen		11111			::		_			_												
T 🖂 HMI tags					Tre	nd 1	ag c	onn	ectio	n Va	alue			Da	ate/ti	me						
Show all tags																						
Add new tag tab	le																					
💥 Default tag table	· [				: . <mark>.</mark>								•							✓ Co	ntrols	
Connections																				4	🔽 🔒 💿	
HMI alarms		<						1										> 10	D%			
Recipes		Trend	l view	l [Tren	d viewl											O P	operties	71	Info 🔒	A	Q.	
Historical data	1	~					-										operates			1 -		
<	>	Pro	perties	Ar	nimatio	ns	Even	ts	Text	s									/			
> Details view		📑 🖻 Pr	operty list		-	Butto	on bor	der										/				

The Trend View under Controls is chosen. The picture above is very different than what is configured below. Commissioning of the graphic display can be achieved by proper configuration of the Trend View screen.



Bibliography

[1] Dr. Curtis Cohenour, A Low-Cost Control System Experiment for Engineering Technology Students Ohio University, Proceedings of the ASEE's 125rd Annual Conference, Columbus, Ohio, 2017.

#### **AUTHOR INFORMATION**

William Ted Evans, Professor, PhD, PE U. of Toledo, Engineering Technology Dept. University of Toledo, Toledo, Ohio 43606 william.evans@utoledo.edu webpage: hybridplc.org

BSEE, 1971, U. of Illinois, U-C MSEE, 1975, U. of Toledo, PhD, IE, 2005, U. of Toledo