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Abstract

A pilot process safety management course (CHE 49700 – Process Safety Management) was developed in collaboration with industrial consultants as a 3-credit hour elective in the School of Chemical Engineering. The course was enhanced and expanded so that applicability was extended beyond chemical engineering to other engineering disciplines, i.e., chemical, civil, electrical, industrial, materials, mechanical and nuclear engineering. The instructors for the course are also working with the faculty in the School of Chemical Engineering to develop a “Dynamic or Living” Process Safety Library. The electronic library is being populated with safety lecture material, homework and exam problems and multi-media resources that can be incorporated in core chemical engineering courses such as thermodynamics, fluid mechanics, heat and mass transfer, reaction engineering and design. The Dynamic Process Safety Library will also be used as resource materials for students taking the Process Safety Management Course. Additionally, the process safety efforts in the College of Engineering are utilizing the existing resources and materials that are provided by the professional societies of the various engineering disciplines. The chemical engineering safety library will be accessible to the College of Engineering (COE) and beyond S2012, with input and support from other disciplines, is envisioned to become a college-wide resource. This presentation will focus on the philosophy behind the development of the course; the essential elements of the course, the benefit of the collaboration between guest lecturers from the process industries, oil and gas, academia, NGOs and government regulatory authorities in the development of the course; and the results from the assessment of student learning outcomes.

Introduction

The Deepwater Horizon off-shore oil platform explosion and the associated human, economic and environmental impacts vividly illustrate what can happen when process safety management goes wrong. Process safety management is a culture within an organization and a state of mind for every employee from the CEO to the engineer to the operator.

The long term objective of this work is to develop undergraduate process safety management courses for the College of Engineering (COE) so that our graduates have a fundamental safety platform and understanding when they enter industry, government or graduate school.

Global examples of the failure of process safety management are abundant in the last 50 years. Catastrophic incidents including Flixborough, England (1974), Seveso, Italy (1976), Mexico City (1984), North Sea (1988), Pasadena, Texas (1989), and the worst, Bhopal, India (1984) compelled U.S. industry and OSHA to enact 29 CFR 1910.119, “Process Safety Management (PSM) of Highly Hazardous Chemicals” in May 1992. This legislation is intended to prevent or minimize the consequences of catastrophic release of toxic, reactive, flammable or explosive chemicals that would endanger employees or the public and may lead to illnesses, injuries and deaths. Since the enactment of CFR 1910.119, process safety management has improved in the U.S. but gaps in adherence and understanding still exist. In 2005, an explosion in the isomerization unit at BP Texas City resulted in 15 deaths and ~180 injured. In 2010, an
explosion in a Massey Energy mine in West Virginia killed 29 workers in the worst mine incident in four decades. Also, in 2010, an explosion on the Deep Water off-shore oil platform in the Gulf Coast left 11 dead and caused yet to be understood damage to the environment and economy. The fines and lawsuits associated with these three incidents have been and will continue to be horrendous. Process safety management must continue to improve and be adhered to if employees, communities and the environment are to be protected.

A revision to the ABET program criteria for chemical engineering programs in January 2012 requires that the curriculum “enable graduates to design, analyze, and control physical, chemical and/or biological processes, and address the hazards associated with these processes.” This revision (underlined) requires that we educate chemical engineering students in process safety management. We can no longer rely on our students learning process safety fundamentals as part of Co-Op and internship experiences. Process safety management knowledge extends beyond chemical engineering, and engineers from all disciplines need to be educated in this area.

Course Development

A pilot process safety management course (CHE 49700 – Process Safety Management) is being developed and was first taught in SP2011 as a 3-credit hour elective course in the School of Chemical Engineering. Twenty seven chemical engineering students in their junior and senior year took the course. An enhanced and expanded version of the course is being taught in SP2012 so that applicability of the course is extended beyond chemical engineering. It is envisioned that students in chemical, civil, electrical, industrial, materials, mechanical and nuclear engineering will benefit from the course. Three mechanical engineering students are taking the course in SP2012.

In addition to teaching the Process Safety Management course in SP2011 and SP2012, a “Dynamic or Living” process safety library is being developed. The electronic library is being populated with safety lecture material, homework and exam problems and multi-media resources that can be incorporated in core chemical engineering courses such as thermodynamics, fluid mechanics, heat and mass transfer, reaction engineering and design. The chemical engineering safety library will be accessible to other disciplines in the College of Engineering in the future, with input and support from the other disciplines. Thus, this safety library will become a college-wide resource.

CHE 49700 is being developed by a team that includes a faculty member with 15 years or more work experience at the Oak Ridge National Laboratory, and four personnel with over 20 years each of experience in the chemical and petrochemical industries. Currently, three of the developers of the course consult in the area of chemical process safety. One of the instructors has over 20 years of industrial safety experience, and is currently a staff member/Instructor in the College of Engineering and is a safety champion in the School of Chemical Engineering who promotes laboratory and facility safety to our faculty and students. The course is taught primarily by a faculty member and safety is being developed and taught collaboratively. The faculty sponsor is the primary instructor. The Staff member/Instructor is the course administrator, provides industrial perspective in the class and is one of the instructors. The three
consultants are the content experts and are developing the course material. They also lecture as industry experts during the semester.

The objective of the Process Safety Management course is for students to develop knowledge of process safety management including hazard identification, hazard analysis and risk management. The course is taught three times per week where active learning exercises are incorporated in the class between 10 to 20 minute lecture periods during a 50 minute class. Students are assigned individual and team-based homework and in-class assignments and successful completion of two or three SACHE modules. Two to three exams are also planned during the semester. The final project is a team-based response to a hypothetical chemical disaster. The final project assesses the student’s ability to effectively synthesize and apply the semester’s learnings. The consults attend the team presentations and participate in grading the final projects. The expected result is that at the end of the semester, the students enrolled in the Process Safety Management course will have developed a solid foundation in process safety management and will spread the word so the course gains momentum and the interest of additional students. This effect was realized in the second offering of the course in SP2012 where the course is filled to capacity (50 students) and includes as stated above three mechanical engineering students.

The learning outcomes for the course are:

- Be able to design a system, component, or process to meet desired technical, economic, safety, and environmental criteria;
- Be able to utilize the techniques, analytical skills, and modern computational tools necessary for successful chemical engineering practice;
- Understand and appreciate the need for professional integrity and ethical decision making in the professional practice of chemical engineering (6);
- Demonstrate an understanding of contemporary issues encountered in the professional practice of chemical engineering including business practices, environmental, health, and safety issues and other public interests. Our graduate will be aware of the wide-reaching effects that engineering decisions have on society, our global community and our natural environment;
- Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of the elements of process safety management;
- Be able to pro-actively identify and analyze safety hazards;
- Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of risk management tools, programs and processes associated with process safety.

The attached survey was administered the first day of class and will be given again at the end of the class to see if the major learning outcomes have been achieved. Of course, the survey will be modified as the course is further developed to ensure that we are assessing the effectiveness of
the course development in educating our students in the area of process safety management. The timeline for the first two years of course development is given below.

PSM Course Survey

Name: ________________________________ (optional)

(Questions 1-3 will assess your current understanding of PSM. Circle your answers.)

1. Which of the following is **not** an element of OSHA 29 CFR 1910.119 – Process Safety Management?
   a. Mechanical Integrity
   b. Management of Change
   c. Safety Culture
   d. Employee Participation

2. What is the **most compelling** reason for companies to have a strong PSM process?
   a. They will lose money if a facility shuts down due to an incident.
   b. Injuries will be prevented and lives will be saved.
   c. They will avoid government fines and bad media attention.
   d. They will protect the surrounding community and environment.

3. Who is responsible for process safety?
   a. Management
   b. Operators
   c. Engineers
   d. Contractors
   e. Customers
   f. b and c
   g. a, b and c
   h. a, b, c and d
   i. b, c, d and e

4. What types of professional experience have you had? (Check all that apply.)
   a. 5 or 3-session Co-Op
   b. Internship
   c. Research
   d. Other (please describe) ________________________

5. What are your expectations for ChE 497: Process Safety Management?
Timeline for Course Development

- Develop and teach Process Safety Management (PSM) in chemical engineering                                          SP2011
- Develop process safety library in chemical engineering                                                       SP2011/SP2012
- Develop process safety awareness assessment tool                                                               SP2011-SP2012
- Share PSM and process safety library with COE faculty and develop plan for broadening applicability to other engineering disciplines SP2011-SP2012
- Revise PSM Course/Implement Process Safety Awareness Assessment Tool                                           SP2012
- Expand process safety library to include other disciplines                                                   SP2012
- Offer expanded Process Safety Management course in CoE                                                       SP2013

Conclusions

A Process Safety Management course is being developed to better prepare students in developing a process safety culture and the importance of integrating the elements of process safety management into facility safety plans. The course is designed so students work in teams to apply the elements of process safety management to real and simulated industrial and laboratory situations.

Acknowledgement

The course development was supported financially from the School of Chemical Engineering at Purdue University.

Bibliography